(1) C.S.1001-3

# IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

W.P.NO. 4761 OF 2005

PETITIONER :: Chamru Ram Verma,
S/o. R.S. Verma
aged about 54 years,
R/o Tahsilpara Bijapur
Tahsil – Bijapur
District – Dantewara (C.G.)

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS
1. State of Chhattisgarh, through
The Secretary ( Forest ),
DKS Bhavan, Raipur (C.G.)

2 The Chief Conserveror of Forest
(Wild Life) Chhattisgarh, Raipur (C.G.)

3. The Additional Chief Conservetor of
Forest (Administration) Chhattisgarh,
Raipur (C.G.)

P.S. No. 4336/05 Shri S.C. Verma 29/12/05

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF APPROPRIATE WRIT / WRITS, ORDER / ORDERS DIRECTION/DIRECTIONS ETC. IN

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

**मामला क्रमांक** ..... WP 4761/05 ..... **सन् 200**

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

| आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक | हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश | कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश |
|---|---|---|

**19/10/2005**

Shri Satish Chandra Verma, learned counsel for the petitioner.

Shri Arun Sao, Dy. G.A. for respondent Nos.1, 2 & 3 on advance copy.

Heard.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was appointed as a Daily Wager on the post of Driver in the year 1982. Since 1982, he is working on the said post unobstructively. He further submits that even after such a long period of service, the case of the petitioner for regularisation has not been considered. Referring to the document (Annexure P-5), learned counsel submits that the then Chief Conservator of Forest (Wild Life) has also recommended the case of the petitioner for regularisation vide his covering memo dated 16/7/2002. But even after this, his case has not been considered.

For the present, learned counsel for the petitioner prays that this petition may be disposed of with a liberty to the petitioner to file a detailed representation before the concerned authority and the concerned authority may be directed to dispose of his representation within the stipulated period granted by this Court.

This limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner is not opposed by learned counsel for the State.

The same is allowed.

SP/FS/2005 - 20,000

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

**मामला क्रमांक** ....WP 4761/05.... **सन् 200**

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

| आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक | हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश | कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश |
|---|---|---|
| | It is directed that if so advised, the petitioner may file a detailed representation alongwith a copy of this order and a copy of Writ Petition before the concerned authority within a period of 4 weeks from today and if such a representation is filed, the concerned authority shall dispose of the same as early as possible preferably within a period of 8 weeks from the date of filing/receipt of the aforesaid representation by him keeping in mind the recommendation by the local authority of the petitioner vide memo dated 16/7/2002 (Annexure P-5).<br>The petition stands finally disposed of.<br>**Sd/-**<br>**Sunil Kumar Sinha**<br>**Judge** | |

22/10/05 25/10/05